

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065
rvannest@kvn.com
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325
canderson@kvn.com
DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424
dpurcell@kvn.com
633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: 415 391 5400
Facsimile: 415 397 7188

KING & SPALDING LLP
DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279
fzimmer@kslaw.com
CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323
csabnis@kslaw.com
101 Second Street, Suite 2300
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 415.318.1200
Fax: 415.318.1300

KING & SPALDING LLP
SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER
(*Pro Hac Vice*)
sweingaertner@kslaw.com
ROBERT F. PERRY
rperry@kslaw.com
BRUCE W. BABER (Pro Hac Vice)
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
Tel: 212.556.2100
Fax: 212.556.2222

IAN C. BALLON - #141819
ballon@gtlaw.com
HEATHER MEEKER - #172148
meekerh@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1900 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Tel: 650.328.8500
Fax: 650.328.8508

Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA

**GOOGLE'S COMMENTS ON THE
COURT'S DESCRIPTION OF JAVA
LANGUAGE PRINCIPLES**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
Judge: Hon. William Alsup

Google provides the following comments on the Court's draft description of Java language principles. All of Google's comments are in the nature of minor corrections to the Court's text or correction of typographical errors:

Page 1, line 1: "Java punctuation" should be changed to "Java syntax"

Page 1, lines 4-5: "each statement being a single command" should be changed to "each statement being one or more commands"

Page 1, line 17: "output(s)" should be changed to "output"; "are" should be changed to "is"; and "return(s)" should be changed to "return" – so the sentence should read "The output from the method is known as the return."

Page 2, line 11: "method body mut [sic] have" should be changed to "method body **must** have"

Page 2, line 17: "Java" should be changed to "J2SE"

Page 3, line 2: "patent class" should be changed to "parent class"

Page 3, line 11: the final curly bracket should be facing in the opposite direction – it should be a close bracket rather than an open bracket.

Google does not propose any additions to the Court's description.

Google has received through the CM-ECF system Oracle's suggested changes to the Court's draft. (Dkt. 1110). Google will review Oracle's suggestions and will be prepared to comment on them tomorrow morning.

Dated: May 9, 2012

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest
ROBERT A. VAN NEST

Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE INC.